

## TESTIMONY OF JACQUELINE R. CHERRY

FOR

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 98-001-E

IN RE: CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE FOR THE RECORD, YOUR NAME,

My name is Jacqueline R. Cherry. My business address

is 111 Doctors Circle, Columbia, South Carolina.

employed by the Public Service Commission of South

WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND

I received a B.S. Degree in Business Administration,

with a major in Accounting from Johnson C. Smith

Carolina, Accounting Department, as an fiscal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α.

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

Α.

ADDRESS AND OCCUPATION?

analyst/utilities accountant.

AND YOUR BUSINESS EXPERIENCE?

Q. CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY?

WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY INVOLVING

wastewater utilities.

University in 1976. I was employed by this Commission

in February 1979, and have participated in cases involving gas, electric, telephone, water and

The purpose of my testimony is to set forth, in summary form, the Staff's findings, and

-1-

1 recommendations resulting from our review of the 2 Company's fuel adjustment clause operation for the 3 period January 1997 through March 1998. 4 findings and recommendations are set forth in detail 5 in the Staff's report. 6 WHAT WAS THE SCOPE OF YOUR AUDIT? Q. 7 The Accounting Department Staff traced the fuel Α. 8 information, as filed in the Company's required 9 monthly filing, to the Company's books and records. 10 The audit covered the period January 1997 through 11 The purpose of the audit was to December 1997. 12 determine if Carolina Power & Light Company had 13 computed and applied the monthly Fuel Adjustment 14 Clause in accordance with the approved clause. To 15 accomplish this, Staff examined the components 16 surrounding the operation of the clause. 17 WHAT WERE THE STEPS THAT THE STAFF EMPLOYED WITHIN THE Ο. 18 SCOPE OF THE AUDIT? 19 The examination consisted of the following: 20 An Analysis of Account # 151, Fuel Stock 21 Sample of Receipts to the Fuel Stock Account,

3. Verification of Charges to Nuclear Fuel
Expense, Account # 518

Account # 151

22

23

24

1	4. An Analysis of Purchased Power and Interchange
2	(Net)
3	5. Verification of KWH Sales
4 .	6. A Comparison of Coal Costs
5	7. Recomputation of Fuel Costs Adjustment Factor,
8	and Verification of Deferred Fuel Costs
7	8. Recomputation of True-up for Over/Under -
8	Recovered Fuel Costs
9	9. Analysis of Spot Coal Purchasing Procedures
10 <b>Q.</b>	MRS. CHERRY, PLEASE WOULD YOU ELABORATE ON THE SCOPE
11	OF YOUR EXAMINATION?
12 'A.	Staff's analysis of the Fuel Stock Account
13	consisted of tracing receipts and issues from the
14	Fuel Management System by month to the General
15	Ledger. Staff's sample of receipts to the Fuel Stock
16	Account consisted of randomly selecting transactions,
17	tracing each of these transactions to a waybill and a
18	purchase order for documentation purposes, and
19	recalculating the transactions to insure mathematical
20	correctness. Staff verified nuclear fuel expense
21	amounts to the Company's General Ledger. The expenses
22	were also verified to the monthly fuel reports filed
23	by the Company with this Commission. Staff performed
24	an examination of the Company's purchased power and

25

interchange amount used in the Fuel Adjustment Clause

for the period January 1997 through December 1997. 1 Staff obtained the details of purchases and sales made 2 by Carolina Power & Light Company to and from other 3 electric utilities and verified the amounts which are 4 being used in computing total fuel cost for each 5 Furthermore, in accordance with PSC Order No. 6 90-961, Docket No. 90-4-E, dated October 18, 1990, 7 Staff was specifically directed to examine the 8 Company's nonfirm, off-system sales to preclude any 9 possible problems in this area and to include language 10 in its audit procedures to address these matters. 11 Accordingly, Staff traced the sales and purchases 12 transactions for January 1997 through December 1997 to 13 the Company's monthly sales and purchases invoices. 14 Staff recomputed all of the sales and purchases. 15 accordance with Public Service Commission Order No. 16 90-961, Docket No. 90-4-E, dated October 18, 1990, 17 Staff will continue to review the Company's nonfirm, 18 off-system transactions during future audits. 19 Staff's review of KWH sales included verification of 20 total system sales as filed in the monthly factor 21 computation. Staff prepared exhibits from Carolina 22 Power & Light Company's books and records reflecting 23 coal costs during the review period. Specifically, 24 these exhibits are as follows: 25

Exhibit A - Coal Cost Statistics (and Weighted

Average of Coal Received)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Exhibit B - Received Coal - Cost Per Ton Per Plant Exhibit C - Received Coal- Cost Per Ton Comparison With reference to Exhibit A, Coal Cost Statistics, Staff has reflected a detailed analysis of spot and contract coal for the twelve-month period January 1997 through December 1997. The detail gives emphasis to tons received, cost per ton received, total received cost, percentage of tons received and cost per MBTU. Also, in Exhibit A, the Weighted Average of Coal Received is reflected for the twelve-month period. In Exhibits B and C, Staff reflects a comparison of coal costs on a per ton basis. Exhibit B is a comparison between Carolina Power & Light's plants and Exhibit C is a comparison between companies. Staff analyzed the cumulative under-recovery of fuel costs that the Company had incurred for the period January 1997 through December 1997, totaling \$6,212,396. Staff added the projected over-recovery of \$96,840 for the month of January 1998, the projected over-recovery of \$213,819 for the month of February 1998, and the projected over-recovery of \$269,832 for the month of March 1998 to arrive at an cumulative under-recovery of \$5,631,905 as of March

1998. The Company's cumulative under-recovery, per its testimony in Docket No. 98-001-E, as of December 1997 totals \$6,294,274 and as of March 1998 the cumulative under-recovery totals \$5,713,783. The difference between the Company's and the Staff's cumulative under-recovery as of actual December 1997 and as of estimated March 1998 is \$81,878. The cumulative difference as of December 1997 of \$81,878 is based on Staff's calculation adjustments to the Company's Purchased Power Costs for January 1997 through December 1997 (per Staff's report), after Staff reviewed the Company's Purchased Power invoices and reports.

As stated in Carolina Power & Light's S.C. Retail
Adjustment for Fuel Costs Rider, fuel costs will be
included in base rates to the extent determined
reasonable and proper by the Commission. Accordingly,
the Commission should consider the under-recovery of
\$5,631,905 along with the anticipated fuel costs for
the period April 1, 1998 through March 31, 1999, for
the purpose of determining the base costs of fuel in
base rates effective April 1, 1998. The \$5,631,905
under-recovery figure was provided to the Commission's
Utilities Department. Refer to Exhibit G, South
Carolina Fuel Costs Computation, for details of the

2	Q.	MRS. CHERRY, WHAT WERE THE RESULTS OF THE ACCOUNTING
3		DEPARTMENT'S AUDIT?
4	Α.	Based on the Staff's examination of Carolina Power &
5		Light Company's books and records, a comparison of
6		fuel costs among utilities, and the utilization of the
7		fuel costs recovery mechanisms as directed by this
8		Commission, the Accounting Department is of the
9		opinion that the Company has complied with the
10		directives of the Commission.
11	Q.	MRS. CHERRY, WOULD YOU IDENTIFY THE REMAINING
12	•	EXHIBITS CONTAINED IN YOUR REPORT WHICH HAVE NOT
13		BEEN IDENTIFIED?
14	A.	The exhibits are as follows:
15		Exhibit D - Coal Fuel Stocks - Number of Days of
16		Supply (All Plants)
17		Exhibit E - Total Burned Costs (Fossil and Nuclear)
18		Exhibit F - Cost of Fuel
19		Exhibit G - S.C. Fuel Costs Computation
20	Q.	MRS. CHERRY, DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
21	A.	Yes, it does.
22		
23		
24		
25		

under-recovery computation.